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Introduction
- Working memory refers to the ability to maintain information in an active state when it is no longer present in the environment.
- Framework: Working Memory as an Emergent Property
  Working memory arises through coordinated recruitment, via attention, of brain structures that have evolved to accommodate sensory, representational, and action-related functions. (Postle, 2006)
- Previous non-human primate research using extracellular recording has demonstrated that both prefrontal cortex (Rainer et al., 1999) and inferior temporal cortex (Takeda et al., 2005) can represent both retrospective and prospective coding of objects in working memory.
- Can human object working memory also be supported by retrospective and prospective codes?
  - If we find evidence for prospective coding, this will imply that object working memory is supported by activated LTM.

Our Approach
1. Subjects learn arbitrary stimulus pairings = i.e., commit them to LTM
2. Delayed Paired-Associate Recognition = working memory task = evaluate delay-period activity

Methodology
- Use whole-brain, multivariate pattern classification of fMRI data = Princeton Multi-Voxel Pattern Analysis toolbox (MVPA)
- This method was introduced by Polyn, Natu, Cohen, & Norman (2005) to test the theory of Contextual Reinstatement: the context in which an item is learned is reinstated during retrieval from memory.
- Cortical activity at recall matches pattern evoked from peripersonal processing
- Patterns of activity reappear seconds before verbal recall

Train classifier
- Train neural network classifier = pre-processed fMRI data = backpropagation algorithm
  For classification: initial 10s of each trial = 90 total trials (30 of each category)
- Below is classifier training data for 1 subject (all subjects show similar results)

Learn stimulus pairs
- Offline, learn 6 pairs of stimuli to criterion (24 consecutive correct responses)
  - Randomly select 4 exemplars from each of the 3 categories
  - With these 12 pictures, create 6 unique pairs
  - Practice recognizing pairs (forward and reverse directions) using 3-alternative forced choice
  - Once criterion reached, assumed pairs committed to LTM

Within-category memory trials
- There are 36 between-category, 36 within-category, and 24 no-memory trials (= 96 total)

Between-category
- The between-category trials are the experimental trials which investigate the transition of neural activity from the retrospective to the prospective item.

Individual differences
- It is possible that individuals may vary in the extent to which they represent information in WM via sustained activity in perceptual cortex vs. other mechanism.
  - e.g., if the distribution of cortical activity is substantially different between training (perceptual/semantic) and the working memory task (reactivation from LTM).
- For example, naive subject 2 shows successful classification of training data:

But classifier fails on within-category delayed-recognition: